	Case 3:10-cv-02440-L-NLS	Document 22	Filed 08/22/11	PageID.296	Page 1 of 3
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	IN RE DAVID FOLSOM,)	Civil No. 10c	v2440 L (NLS	5)
12	Debtor.	{	Bankruptcy N	To. 09-08919-	В7
13		{	Adversary No	o. 10-90142-B	7
14	DAVID FOLSOM; PAMELA BRODWOLF-FOLSOM,		ORDER DEN LEAVE TO		
15	Appellants,		PAUPERIS [
16	V.	Ś			
17	GERALD H. DAVIS, Chapter	7 Trustee,			
18	Appellee.				
19)			
20	David Folsom moves to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal. Under Rule 24(a)(1) of the				
21	Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, a "a party to a district-court action who desires to appeal in				
22	forma pauperis must file a motion in the district court." Also, [t]he party must attach an affidavit				
23	that:				
24	(A) shows in the detail prescribed by Form 4 of the Appendix of Forms the party's inability				
25	to pay or to give security for fees and costs;				
26	(B) claims an entitlement to redress; and				
27	(C) states the issues that the party intends to present on appeal.				
28	FED. R. APP. PROC. 24(a)(1).				
					10cv2440

Having reviewed the affidavit attached to Folsom's motion, the Court finds that he has failed to demonstrate his inability to pay or to give security for fees and costs.

The benefit of proceeding IFP is a privilege, not a right. *Franklin v. Murphy*, 745 F.2d 1221, 1231 (9th Cir. 1984). A petitioner need not "be absolutely destitute to enjoy the benefit of this statute." *Adkins v. E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Co.*, 335 U.S. 331, 339 (1948); *Jefferson v. U.S.*, 277 F.2d 723, 725 (9th Cir. 1960), *cert. denied*, 364 U.S. 896 (1960). He must, however, demonstrate his poverty with "some particularity, definiteness, and certainty." *United States v. McQuade*, 647 F.2d 938, 940 (9th Cir.1981) (*per curiam*). "[T]he same even-handed care must be employed to assure that federal funds are not squandered to underwrite, at public expense, either frivolous claims or the remonstrances of a suitor who is financially able, in whole or in material part, to pull his own oar." *Temple v. Ellerthorpe*, 586 F. Supp. 848, 850 (D.R.I. 1984).

Folsom indicates that his average monthly amount of money received during the past 12 months and the amount expected next month is \$2,300.00. He also states that his total monthly expenses are \$985.00. Folsom's spouse anticipates receiving \$5,900.00 next month and having expenses of \$5,800.00. The affidavit also shows the value of real estate in the amounts of \$590,000 and \$350,000.00.

Under these facts, the Court is not persuaded that Folsom is unable to pay the appellate filing fee. Accordingly, Folsom's motion to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal is **DENIED.**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: August 22, 2011

M. James Lorenz / United States District Court Judge

24 COPY TO:

25 HON. NITA L. STORMES UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

ALL COUNSEL/PARTIES

2 10cv2440